

This is the peer-reviewed version of the following article

Ilijević, K., Vujanović, D., Orčić, S. M., Purać, J., Kojić, D., Zarić, N. M., Gržetić, I., Blagojević, D. P., & Čelić, T. V.. (2021). Anthropogenic influence on seasonal and spatial variation in bioelements and non-essential elements in honeybees and their hemolymph. in *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part - C: Toxicology and Pharmacology*

Elsevier Inc., 239, 108852.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2020.108852>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons - Attribution-Noncommercial-  
No Derivative Works 3.0 Serbia

1 ***Anthropogenic influence on seasonal and spatial variation in bioelements and***  
2 ***non-essential elements in honeybees and their hemolymph***

3 **Konstantin Ilijević<sup>1</sup>, Dragana Vujanović<sup>2</sup>, Snežana Orčić<sup>3</sup>, Jelena Purać<sup>3</sup>, Danijela Kojić<sup>3</sup>, Nenad**  
4 **Zarić<sup>4</sup>, Ivan Gržetić<sup>1</sup>, Duško P. Blagojević<sup>5</sup>, Tatjana V. Čelić<sup>3\*</sup>**

5 <sup>1</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Chemistry, Studentski trg 16, Belgrade 11000, Republic of Serbia

6 <sup>2</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Pharmacy, Vojvode Stepe 450, 11221 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia

7 <sup>3</sup>University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Biology and Ecology, Trg D. Obradovića 2,  
8 21000 Novi Sad, Republic of Serbia

9 <sup>4</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Biology, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia

10 <sup>5</sup>University of Belgrade, Institute for Biological Research “Siniša Stanković”, Department of Physiology,  
11 Bulevar despota Stefana 142, 11060 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia

12 \*corresponding author: Tatjana V. Čelić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Department of  
13 Biology and Ecology, Trg D. Obradovića 2, 21000 Novi Sad, Republic of Serbia  
14 e-mail: tatjana.celic@dbe.uns.ac.rs, phone: +381214852673 fax: +38121450620  
15

16 ***Abstract***

17 Honeybee colony losses have been a focus of research in the last years, due to the importance of  
18 managed honeybee colonies for economy and ecology. Different unfavorable conditions from the  
19 outside environment have a strong impact on the hive health. The majority of losses occur  
20 mainly during winter and the exact reason is not completely understood. Only a small number of  
21 studies is dealing with content of bioelements, their function and influence on honeybee  
22 physiology. The aim of the present study was to determine seasonal and spatial variations in  
23 content of bioelements and non-essential elements, in hemolymph and whole body of honeybees  
24 originating from three regions with different degrees of urbanization and industrialization.  
25 Concentrations of 16 elements were compared: macroelements (Ca, K, Mg, Na), microelements  
26 (Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn) and non-essential elements (Al, Ba, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, Pb, Sr) in samples  
27 collected from 3 different environments: Golija (rural region), Belgrade (urban region) and  
28 Zajača (industrial region). Content of bioelements and non-essential elements in honeybees was  
29 under noticeable influence of the surrounding environment, but also season and degree of  
30 honeybee activity. Hemolymph was proven to be helpful in differentiating air pollution from  
31 other sources of honeybee exposure. The results of our study demonstrated that bees can be  
32 successfully used as biomonitors since we have observed statistically significant differences  
33 among observed locations, but unless compared locations are exposed to excessively different  
34 pollution pressures, it is essential that all bees should be collected at the same season.

35 ***Keywords*** *Apis mellifera*, environmental pollution, hemolymph, ICP-OES, metals

36 ***1. Introduction***

37 Honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) are the main pollinators of numerous plants and fruit trees, thus  
38 they have a key role in agriculture sustainability and biodiversity maintenance. However, decline  
39 of managed honeybees both in Europe and the USA, has been documented in numerous studies  
40 over the past decades, with annual loss rates ranging between 30 to 40% of the total number of  
41 managed colonies (**López-Uribe and Simone-Finstrom, 2019**). The phenomenon of the  
42 honeybee colony losses is an obvious example of how uncontrolled imbalance in the  
43 environment has direct economic consequences for human society (eg. unsustainable  
44 development) (**Gallai et al., 2009**), in addition to affecting plant diversity and ecosystem  
45 stability (**Potts et al., 2010**). Considering the importance of managed honeybee colonies for  
46 economy and ecology, colony losses have been in the focus of research in the last years.  
47 Different unfavorable conditions from the outside environment have a strong impact on the  
48 hive's health. The majority of losses occur mainly during winter and the exact reason is not  
49 completely understood. Intensive beekeeping, poor beekeeping practices and increasing  
50 environmental pollution from industry and agriculture are considered as main sources of negative  
51 pressure on honeybee health (**Goulson et al., 2015; Jacques et al., 2017**). Honeybee pests and  
52 disease, diet and nutrition, genetics, as well as cumulative, multiple exposures and/or the  
53 interactive effects of several of these factors could be the reason for colony losses (**Staveley et**  
54 **al., 2014; Stanimirović et al., 2019**).

55 Insects are exposed to mineral elements through food and water, thus most naturally occurring  
56 mineral elements are found in their bodies. For insects, essential mineral elements, that have  
57 known metabolic function are sodium (Na), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium, (Mg),  
58 chlorine (Cl) and phosphorus (P). However, the exact amounts that insects need are not  
59 determined. Some elements are required in trace amounts for various physiological processes,  
60 but at higher concentrations, these micronutrients tend to be toxic and derange various  
61 physiological processes. Essentially, this should be viewed as part of the overall dose-response  
62 relationship for those metals shown to be essential, and the shape of this relationship can vary  
63 among organisms (**Singh et al., 2011; Tchounwou et al., 2012**). Iron (Fe) is essential as the  
64 central element in cytochrome enzymes, and zinc (Zn) and manganese (Mn) are also essential  
65 since these elements play a part in hardening the cuticle of mandibles (**Capinera et al., 2008**).

66 Copper (Cu) is a constituent of some enzymes and is considered essential for insects (**Gordon,**  
67 **1959**). Some metals are part of vitamins or enzymes, such as cobalt (Co) and chromium (Cr), but  
68 it is unknown whether they are essential for insects, and others, such as aluminum (Al), barium  
69 (Ba), cadmium (Cd), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb) and strontium (Sr), have no known physiological  
70 function and can interfere with biological processes by interacting with macromolecules,  
71 replacing or in other ways affecting essential metals (**Buchwalter, 2008; Markert et al., 2015**).

72 Metals are released into the environment by natural and anthropogenic sources, and since they do  
73 not decompose, they are continuously present in the environment, changing chemical forms and  
74 entering into biological cycles (**Perugini et al., 2011**). Toxic metals in bees and in bee products  
75 have been the subject of many studies. Analyzing the literature related to metals in the  
76 environment and bees, it can be observed that most of the studies are related to different aspects  
77 of interrelations between toxic metal content in the environment, bees and bee products  
78 (**Fakhimzadeh et al., 2000; Conti and Botre, 2001; Bogdanov, 2006; Van der Steen et al.,**  
79 **2012; Formicki et al., 2013**). Many authors indicate the possibility of using bees and their  
80 products as bioindicators of toxic metal pollution (**Perugini et al., 2011; van der Steen et al.,**  
81 **2012**), and their potential in biomonitoring process (**Leita et al., 1996; Conti and Botre, 2001;**  
82 **Porrini et al., 2002; Celli and Maccagnani, 2003; Porrini et al., 2003; Roman, 2005;**  
83 **Perugini et al., 2011; Ruschioni et al., 2013; Van der Steen et al., 2015**;). Some papers deal  
84 with the influence of pollen to metals profile in honey we consume (**Lambert et al., 2012**), and  
85 some with risk assessment for humans from dietary intake of toxic metals present in the honey  
86 (**Ru et al., 2013; Fakhri et al., 2019**). In recent years, more attention was given to the influence  
87 of toxic metals to honeybee development and survival, as well as physiological and biochemical  
88 changes underlying effects of given metals (**Di et al., 2016; Hladun et al., 2016; Nikolić et al.**  
89 **2016**). However, only a small number of studies have addressed the content of bioelements and  
90 their functions and influence on honeybee physiology (**Filipiak et al., 2017; Ptaszyńska et al.**  
91 **2018**).

92 The aim of the present study was to better understand the dynamic of bioelements and non-  
93 essential elements during an annual cycle of a beehive. In line with this objective, we determined  
94 contents of 16 elements in honeybees originating from three regions that differ in degree of  
95 urbanization and industrialization. Furthermore, this is the first study that analyzed element

96 concentration in honeybee hemolymph. Analysis of hemolymph and atmospheric particulate  
97 matter should give better insight into ways of exposure of honeybees to environmental  
98 contaminants.

## 99 **2. Materials and methods**

### 100 **2.1. Study sites**

101 Adult honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) were collected from three regions in the Republic of Serbia  
102 with different anthropogenic impact. Detailed description of locations was previously published  
103 in **Nikolić et al. (2015)**.

104 Apiary in Tunovo on the mountain Golija (43°17'09.7"N 20°25'44.0"E) is located in the sparsely  
105 populated rural area on the border of a biosphere reserve. Apiary in Belgrade, capitol of  
106 Serbia(44°47'37.5"N 20°27'51.3"E) is situated in large city (approximately 1.5 million  
107 inhabitants) close to two boulevards with heavy traffic. Apiary in Zajača (44°27'07.2"N  
108 19°14'43.9"E) represents bee colonies foraging in an industrial area, since, at the time this  
109 research was conducted, there was a mining and smelting company there producing zinc,  
110 antimony and lead from primary and secondary raw materials.

### 111 **2.2. Sample collection**

112 In order to evaluate exposure to stressors resulting from colony's interaction with environment,  
113 adult forager honeybees were collected at three time points, in winter (November 2012), spring  
114 (April 2013) and summer (August 2013). Samples of forager bees were collected from five hives  
115 (approximately 20 000 bees), at each apiary.

116 The bees were collected from marginal frames without brood of the bee hive, in order to ensure  
117 sampling of older worker bees that already came into contact with environmental pollutants by  
118 foraging (**Medrzycki et al., 2013**). From each hive, forager bees were collected and transferred  
119 into plastic vials which were immediately frozen in dry ice and stored at -20°C.

120 Hemolymph was collected from the same hives as honeybees. For hemolymph collection,  
121 honeybee foragers were calmed using dry ice. The bee was held with forceps, and hemolymph  
122 was collected from dorsal vascular vessel, by piercing the abdomen between tergites with sterile

123 needle and collecting it with micropipette. Volume was approx. 1-5  $\mu\text{L}$ /bee, with about 50  
124 bees/sample. Only clear and yellow hemolymph was collected. Hemolymph was collected in 1.5  
125 ml microtubes, kept on dry ice, and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until further processing.

126 Atmospheric particulate matter (PM) sampling was performed using the bucket collection.  
127 Buckets were set near apiaries in all locations, and after two weeks deposition particles were  
128 collected, filtered and further processed for elemental analysis.

### 129 ***2.3. Sample preparation***

130 Samples of honeybees were dried at  $55 \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$  until constant mass was reached, after which they  
131 were homogenized. Particulate matter (PM) was dried at room temperature for 24h, sieved  
132 through  $160\mu\text{m}$  sieve and then dried at  $100 \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$  until constant mass. Hemolymph samples were  
133 in liquid state and were not dried.

134 Digestion was performed in closed microwave digestion system (ETHOS 1, Advanced  
135 Microwave Digestion System, Milestone, Italy) in accordance with the US EPA SW-846 Method  
136 3052. Samples, mass of approximately 0.5000 g or less, were transferred to closed Teflon vessels  
137 and digested with concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  and concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (volume ratio in ml for whole body  
138 of bees, hemolymph and PM were 6:2, 5:1 and 7:1 respectively).

139 Samples were gradually heated from room temperature to  $200^{\circ}\text{C}$  during 15 minutes, and then  
140 kept at  $200^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 10 minutes for hemolymph and 15 minutes for the whole body of honeybees  
141 and PM. If necessary, digestate was filtered through Sartorius 389 filter paper (white ribbon) to  
142 remove refractory silicate particles. All samples were diluted to the known volume (10, 25 or  
143 100 ml) with double deionized water.

### 144 ***2.4. Instrumental analysis***

145 Concentrations of: Al, Ba, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Sr and Zn were  
146 measured by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy, ICP-OES (iCAP  
147 6500Duo, Thermo Scientific). Very low concentrations of: Co, Cr, Cd and Pb, which occurred in  
148 hemolymph were confirmed by another, more sensitive analytical technique, inductively coupled  
149 plasma mass spectroscopy, ICP-MS (iCAP-Q-ICP-MS, Thermo Scientific). Standard solutions  
150 were prepared from multi-element plasma standard solution 4, Specpure<sup>®</sup>, Alfa Aesar.

151 Quality control was based on the analysis of blanks (prepared following the whole sample  
152 preparation procedure) and analysis of the standard solutions (with low, medium and high  
153 concentrations) at the beginning, after every 10 samples, and at the end of the analysis. Samples  
154 were prepared and analyzed in triplicate.

## 155 ***2.5. Statistical analysis***

156 Statistical analysis was performed using STATISTICA v13 software. The effect of two factors,  
157 different sampling location and season on concentration of elements in honeybee foragers was  
158 analyzed using factorial ANOVA. Significant differences were estimated with  $p < 0.05$ ,  $p < 0.01$   
159 and  $p < 0.001$  confidence intervals. In order to have an insight about influence of season and  
160 location on concentration of elements, one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey test was  
161 performed for each factor. Significant differences were estimated with  $p < 0.05$  confidence  
162 intervals.

## 163 ***3. Results and discussion***

164 We have compared concentrations of elements determined in samples collected from 3 different  
165 environments: rural region (Golija), urban region (Belgrade) and industrial region (Zajača). In  
166 order to more easily interpret the results, elements were divided into three groups:  
167 macroelements (Ca, K, Mg, Na), microelements (Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn) and non-essential elements  
168 (Al, Ba, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, Pb, Sr).

### 169 ***3.1. Annual concentrations of elements in the whole body of honeybees***

170 Annual values were calculated from element concentrations measured over the entire study  
171 period. Mean, minimum and maximum annual values and standard deviations of element  
172 concentrations in the whole body of honeybees are shown in Table 1. For most bioelements  
173 (macro and microelements), average annual concentrations were not significantly different  
174 between locations, except for Fe which was higher in Belgrade in comparison with other two  
175 locations. Statistical significance was not observed probably because of the seasonal variations in  
176 content of bioelements, causing large standard deviations.

177 **Table 1. Mean, minimum, maximum values (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d.m.) and standard deviation for annual values of elements concentration**  
 178 **in the whole body of forager bees for three regions: Golija (rural region), Belgrade (urban region) and Zajača (industrial**  
 179 **region).**

	Golija				Belgrade				Zajača				
	Mean	Min	Max	St.dev.	Mean	Min	Max	St.dev.	Mean	Min	Max	St.dev.	
Macroel.	<b>Ca</b>	<b>677.54<sup>a</sup></b>	406.02	937.32	222.20	<b>658.46<sup>a</sup></b>	456.76	780.92	152.85	<b>822.29<sup>a</sup></b>	525.77	1410.68	303.87
	<b>K</b>	<b>2416.79<sup>a</sup></b>	2049.54	2570.02	195.01	<b>2914.32<sup>a</sup></b>	2773.47	3223.06	169.67	<b>3058.77<sup>a</sup></b>	2139.38	4899.69	991.78
	<b>Mg</b>	<b>705.94<sup>a</sup></b>	552.42	918.39	165.72	<b>711.93<sup>a</sup></b>	573.12	1035.74	148.89	<b>670.39<sup>a</sup></b>	520.46	780.30	100.40
	<b>Na</b>	<b>200.66<sup>a</sup></b>	75.16	367.87	115.34	<b>241.68<sup>a</sup></b>	119.29	447.42	141.55	<b>257.27<sup>a</sup></b>	124.60	465.69	117.32
Microel.	<b>Cu</b>	<b>16.84<sup>a</sup></b>	9.36	21.23	4.55	<b>23.26<sup>a</sup></b>	15.53	34.57	6.37	<b>16.44<sup>a</sup></b>	10.82	23.94	5.61
	<b>Fe</b>	<b>82.87<sup>a</sup></b>	55.51	141.09	29.14	<b>128.06<sup>b</sup></b>	75.33	184.25	40.10	<b>71.35<sup>a</sup></b>	36.76	94.62	25.16
	<b>Mn</b>	<b>87.26<sup>a</sup></b>	50.06	139.01	33.75	<b>43.38<sup>a</sup></b>	30.37	54.47	10.15	<b>80.00<sup>a</sup></b>	33.84	223.00	68.45
	<b>Zn</b>	<b>80.81<sup>a</sup></b>	66.36	113.90	15.65	<b>86.16<sup>a</sup></b>	70.66	103.59	10.64	<b>93.53<sup>a</sup></b>	56.34	202.49	48.49
Non-essential elements	<b>Al</b>	<b>23.34<sup>a</sup></b>	4.15	69.84	22.24	<b>32.95<sup>a</sup></b>	11.32	73.91	25.22	<b>18.52<sup>a</sup></b>	4.21	42.60	17.41
	<b>Ba</b>	<b>3.86<sup>a</sup></b>	1.42	6.32	1.92	<b>2.30<sup>a</sup></b>	0.94	4.53	1.28	<b>3.63<sup>a</sup></b>	1.53	6.90	2.02
	<b>Cd</b>	<b>0.59<sup>a,b</sup></b>	0.08	1.47	0.56	<b>0.17<sup>a</sup></b>	0.15	0.20	0.02	<b>1.57<sup>b</sup></b>	0.29	4.95	1.69
	<b>Co</b>	<b>0.29<sup>a</sup></b>	0.10	0.44	0.14	<b>0.14<sup>b</sup></b>	0.09	0.20	0.04	<b>0.13<sup>b</sup></b>	0.06	0.26	0.08
	<b>Cr</b>	<b>0.20<sup>a</sup></b>	0.15	0.23	0.03	<b>0.24<sup>a</sup></b>	0.20	0.28	0.03	<b>0.23<sup>a</sup></b>	0.17	0.31	0.08
	<b>Ni</b>	<b>0.57<sup>a,b</sup></b>	0.26	0.85	0.22	<b>0.69<sup>a</sup></b>	0.42	1.08	0.22	<b>0.34<sup>b</sup></b>	0.15	0.53	0.11
	<b>Pb</b>	<b>0.42<sup>a</sup></b>	0.26	0.57	0.16	<b>0.43<sup>a</sup></b>	0.20	0.71	0.15	<b>20.38<sup>b</sup></b>	11.63	36.90	7.12
	<b>Sr</b>	<b>4.51<sup>a</sup></b>	0.93	7.80	2.95	<b>1.12<sup>b</sup></b>	0.67	1.77	0.50	<b>1.30<sup>b</sup></b>	0.73	2.00	0.49

180 Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between metal concentrations in samples from different locations.



181 The average annual values for most non-essential elements, except Al, Ba and Cr, showed  
182 differences between locations. The obtained values for non-essential elements are in accordance  
183 with other studies of metal contents in honeybees, a detailed review of which was given in the  
184 work of **van der Steen et al. (2012)**, and also available in other literature data (e.g. **Perugini et**  
185 **al., 2011; Matin et al. 2016; Zarić et al., 2016, 2017**). Bee samples from Golija had  
186 significantly higher annual concentrations of Co and Sr. Concentration of Pb in the whole body  
187 of bees was extremely elevated in Zajača, compared to Belgrade and Golija, and compared with  
188 literature data (**Roman, 2005; Perugini et al., 2011; van der Steen et al. 2012; van der Steen**  
189 **et al. 2015; Zarić et al., 2016, 2018**). Concentration of Cd was considerably higher, while  
190 concentration of Ni was significantly lower in bees from Zajača than in bees from Belgrade.

### 191 *3.2. Seasonal and spatial variations of element concentration in the whole body of honeybees*

192 Results of factorial ANOVA used for evaluating the effect of different location (Golija,  
193 Belgrade, Zajača) and season (spring, summer, winter) on concentration of elements in the whole  
194 body of bees are presented in Table S1. Most of the elements were influenced by both location  
195 and season, and combined influence of both factors. The exception were Mg and Zn whose  
196 concentrations were not influenced by location, and Mn whose concentration was not affected by  
197 season. Factorial ANOVA could not be performed for Cr, since concentration of this element  
198 was under detection limit in several samples.

#### 199 *3.2.1 Seasonal variations*

200 Element concentrations in different seasons (winter, spring and summer) in whole body of bees  
201 from three locations is presented in Table 2. Descriptive statistics (mean, minimum, maximum  
202 and standard deviation) for each location is available as Supplement tables S2, S3 and S4.

203 Concentrations of bioelements: Ca, K, Na and Fe were the highest during summer months in  
204 bees from all investigated locations, Mg had the highest concentrations during summer months in  
205 bees from Golija and Belgrade, but in Zajača Mg concentration was the highest during winter. In  
206 Zajača, K, Na and Fe had tendency to remain high in winter months as well. Concentrations of  
207 Cu, Mn and Zn had different seasonal patterns in bees from different locations. Most bioelements  
208 were higher in period of intense bee activity i.e. spring or summer. Since winter bees have longer  
209 lifespan than summer bees, and have developed certain physiological adaptations associated with

210 longevity (**Amdam and Omholt, 2002; Orčić et al., 2017**), changes in concentrations of  
211 bioelements are probably correlated with physiological changes and lower activity of winter  
212 bees. **Ptaszyńska et al. (2018)** published a study about bioelement concentrations in winter and  
213 summer bees, and concluded that most of bioelements (Ca, Cu, K, Mg, Zn) are higher during  
214 summer, while during winter the highest concentrations are observed for Fe and Mn. In this  
215 study, different trends for some metals were found. Macroelements Ca, K and Mg have higher  
216 concentrations during summer in both studies, but microelements show discrepancies. Copper  
217 did not show regularity at three locations, while zinc and manganese had higher concentrations  
218 during winter only in Zajača. Iron had higher concentrations during summer in bees from Golija  
219 and Belgrade. Differences in bioelements could be the result of different plants that bees visit to  
220 collect pollen and nectar, different water sources, and also potential supplementation with sugar  
221 syrup during winter (**Filipiak et al., 2017**). Nevertheless, there is also a possibility that  
222 bioelements may appear in elevated concentrations as a consequence of pollution since they are  
223 often released into the environment along with other nonessential elements. It would be  
224 necessary to analyze more samples before it can be safely claimed that differences in contents of  
225 bioelements have certain seasonal regularities.

226 The highest concentrations of non-essential elements, in general, occurred during spring and  
227 summer. This was expected considering that honeybees rarely leave the beehive during winter,  
228 thus contact with environmental pollutants is minimized. Presumed source of metals during  
229 winter is food collected during active season (**Döke et al., 2015**). However, some toxic metals  
230 had specific patterns in seasonal trends at each analyzed site. Toxic metal concentrations in bees  
231 collected from Golija were higher during spring and summer, while in winter they were similar  
232 to the values determined at other two analyzed sites. Such trend was discovered for: Al, Ba, Cd,  
233 Co, Cr and Sr. Trends in Belgrade were similar, except for Cd which had a constant  
234 concentration throughout the year. However, bees from Zajača had the highest concentrations of  
235 Cd and Co during winter. Concentration of Pb remains high during the whole year, compared to  
236 other two locations and presents a significant risk to honeybee colonies from this locality.

237 **Table 2. Mean concentration of elements (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d.m.) in the whole body of forager bees given by season of the year for three**  
 238 **regions: Golija (rural region), Belgrade (urban region) and Zajača (industrial region).**

		Golija			Belgrade			Zajača		
		Winter	Spring	Summer	Winter	Spring	Summer	Winter	Spring	Summer
<b>Macroel.</b>	<b>Ca</b>	409.74 <sup>a</sup>	716.30 <sup>b</sup>	906.59 <sup>c</sup>	457.11 <sup>a</sup>	744.80 <sup>b</sup>	773.46 <sup>b</sup>	715.36 <sup>a</sup>	555.35 <sup>a</sup>	1196.15 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>K</b>	2536.92 <sup>a</sup>	2296.65 <sup>a</sup>	>OR	3037.37 <sup>a</sup>	2791.28 <sup>a</sup>	>OR	3318.61 <sup>a</sup>	2185.28 <sup>b</sup>	4899.69 <sup>c</sup>
	<b>Mg</b>	565.30 <sup>a</sup>	635.52 <sup>a</sup>	917.00 <sup>b</sup>	574.07 <sup>a</sup>	716.14 <sup>a,b</sup>	845.59 <sup>b</sup>	767.67 <sup>a</sup>	543.50 <sup>b</sup>	700.01 <sup>c</sup>
	<b>Na</b>	190.28 <sup>a</sup>	75.37 <sup>b</sup>	336.33 <sup>c</sup>	159.65 <sup>a</sup>	136.28 <sup>a</sup>	429.12 <sup>b</sup>	281.78 <sup>a,b</sup>	131.23 <sup>a</sup>	358.81 <sup>b</sup>
<b>Microel.</b>	<b>Cu</b>	19.93 <sup>a</sup>	10.91 <sup>b</sup>	19.67 <sup>a</sup>	22.50 <sup>a</sup>	16.96 <sup>a</sup>	30.31 <sup>b</sup>	23.79 <sup>a</sup>	11.66 <sup>b</sup>	13.87 <sup>c</sup>
	<b>Fe</b>	58.51 <sup>a</sup>	72.55 <sup>a</sup>	117.56 <sup>b</sup>	77.79 <sup>a</sup>	140.80 <sup>b</sup>	165.60 <sup>c</sup>	81.75 <sup>a</sup>	38.57 <sup>b</sup>	93.72 <sup>c</sup>
	<b>Mn</b>	56.05 <sup>a</sup>	114.03 <sup>a</sup>	91.70 <sup>a</sup>	30.44 <sup>a</sup>	50.13 <sup>b</sup>	49.58 <sup>b</sup>	164.20 <sup>a</sup>	35.18 <sup>b</sup>	40.62 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Zn</b>	68.63 <sup>a</sup>	92.67 <sup>a</sup>	81.12 <sup>a</sup>	94.21 <sup>a</sup>	87.61 <sup>a</sup>	76.66 <sup>a</sup>	149.86 <sup>a</sup>	61.65 <sup>b</sup>	69.09 <sup>b</sup>
<b>Non-essential elements</b>	<b>Al</b>	4.17 <sup>a</sup>	19.26 <sup>a,b</sup>	46.58 <sup>b</sup>	11.34 <sup>a</sup>	64.62 <sup>b</sup>	22.89 <sup>a</sup>	4.26 <sup>a</sup>	9.82 <sup>b</sup>	41.49 <sup>c</sup>
	<b>Ba</b>	1.51 <sup>a</sup>	4.43 <sup>b</sup>	5.65 <sup>b</sup>	0.98 <sup>a</sup>	2.17 <sup>a</sup>	3.76 <sup>b</sup>	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.72 <sup>b</sup>	6.17 <sup>c</sup>
	<b>Cd</b>	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	1.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.42 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.16 <sup>a</sup>	3.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.63 <sup>b</sup>	0.39 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Co</b>	0.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.40 <sup>b</sup>	0.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.12 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.19 <sup>b</sup>	0.2 <sup>a</sup>	<DL	0.07 <sup>b</sup>
	<b>Cr</b>	<DL	0.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.22 <sup>a</sup>	<DL	0.24 <sup>a</sup>	0.24 <sup>a</sup>	<DL	<DL	0.23
	<b>Ni</b>	0.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.76 <sup>a</sup>	0.44 <sup>a</sup>	0.79 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.85 <sup>b</sup>	0.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.37 <sup>a</sup>	0.41 <sup>a</sup>
	<b>Pb</b>	<DL	<DL	0.42	0.27 <sup>a</sup>	0.55 <sup>b</sup>	0.45 <sup>a,b</sup>	17.50 <sup>a</sup>	15.96 <sup>a</sup>	27.68 <sup>a</sup>
	<b>Sr</b>	0.93 <sup>a</sup>	7.70 <sup>b</sup>	4.90 <sup>c</sup>	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 <sup>b</sup>	1.76 <sup>c</sup>	1.37 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.80 <sup>a</sup>	1.72 <sup>b</sup>

239 Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between metal concentrations in samples taken in spring, summer and  
 240 winter at the same location.

241 OR- operating range; DL- detection limit.

242 This suggests that, during summer, honeybees from Zajača collected and stored food with high  
243 concentrations of toxic elements. Hence, winter bees feeding with this food accumulated toxic  
244 elements in their bodies. Since bees rarely defecate during winter, this can contribute to metal  
245 accumulation, which could lead to physiological alterations and disturbance in homeostasis  
246 (Nikolić et al. 2015, 2016; Di et al., 2016; Hladun et al., 2016).

### 247 *3.2.2. Spatial variations*

248 Mean concentrations comparison of elements in the whole body of bees from different locations  
249 are shown in Figure 1. Analysis of element concentrations in the whole body of bees implies that  
250 each of the three analyzed environments imposes specific pollution pressure to the honeybee  
251 population that inhabits it. Determined concentrations are a consequence of combined influences  
252 of bees' interactions with their environment (deposition of particles on the surface of bees  
253 bodies, intake by inhalation and nourishment) and bees ability to accumulate metals or block  
254 their absorption.

255 Differences in content of bioelements are probably a consequence of different floral plant species  
256 presented at studied locations, as well as elemental content of water that bees collect around their  
257 hives. Winter bees from Zajača had the highest concentration of bioelements, while in spring  
258 bees the highest concentrations were measured in Belgrade. In summer bees, differences among  
259 locations were not so noticeable. Bees collected from the urban environment of Belgrade had  
260 higher concentrations of Cu and Fe in spring and summer in comparison with other locations.  
261 Sources of these elements are probably related to traffic (they can be released by wearing of tires  
262 and brakes) or to fossil fuel combustion (Duong et al., 2011; Al Khashman, 2013).

263 Differences in toxic elements concentrations among locations changed in relation to season.  
264 Honeybees from Golija were exposed to the highest concentrations of Ba, Cd, Co, Ni and Sr,  
265 bees from Belgrade had elevated concentrations of Al, Cr and Ni, while bees from Zajača had  
266 elevated concentrations of Ba, Cd, Pb and Sr, during at least one season.

267 Golija was considered as "clean" location, with no sources of pollution in the area covered by  
268 bees during foraging. However, this study established that bees from rural environment can also  
269 be under substantial pollution pressure from toxic elements. Concentrations of toxic elements in  
270 forager bees were the same as in industrial area, Zajača, and for certain elements (Ba, Cd, Co and

271 Sr) even significantly higher. It is possible that cadmium and other non-essential elements are  
272 naturally occurring in soil from Golija. They can also come via long range transport of aerosol  
273 from distant pollution sources. For example, cadmium from soil is transported to plants and can  
274 contaminate nectar and pollen, and only a small amount of this metal gets into the bee's products  
275 from air, and only if it is in close proximity to sources of pollution (**Bogdanov, 2006**).

276 Bees from Belgrade had high concentrations of Al, particularly in spring, and Ni in spring and  
277 summer. Al is one of the most abundant elements in Earth crust, which indicates that it is  
278 probably of natural origin (**Adokoh et al., 2011**), while high concentration of Ni is probably the  
279 consequence of intense traffic in urban areas (**Duong et al., 2011**). It was interesting to notice  
280 that bees from Belgrade and Golija had similar levels of Pb, which suggests that exclusion of  
281 leaded gasoline in recent years significantly decreased the amount of bioavailable lead in urban  
282 areas.

283 Considering that there was a lead smelter company in Zajača, Pb was regularly monitored by the  
284 Environmental Protection Agency of the Republic of Serbia (**Popović et al., 2014**). They have  
285 found extremely elevated concentration in the air surrounding the bee collection site. It is known  
286 that plants do not transport lead, so bees are thought to come in contact with this metal through  
287 air (**Bogdanov, 2006**). Hence, very elevated Pb concentration in honeybees at Zajača was a  
288 consequence of the lead smelter company emitting high Pb pollution in the surrounding air.

### 289 **3.3. Hemolymph**

290 Hemolymph samples were collected in winter and summer, simultaneously with honeybee  
291 foragers, and mean concentrations of elements are presented in Table 3. Hemolymph is a body  
292 fluid of invertebrates that transports nutrients and other substances, and maintain internal  
293 environment for cells through its regulation of ionic and chemical composition. It is a dynamic  
294 tissue that changes with the changing physiological state of the insect (**Klowden, 2013**). Unlike  
295 the whole body of honeybees, which might contain some small amount of metals whose source is  
296 PM attached to the hairy surface of the bee, concentrations of metals in hemolymph are regulated  
297 only by metabolic processes. Actual concentration of elements in hemolymph depends on  
298 honeybee exposure to these elements present in their environment, but also on the ability of bees

299 to block their absorption, ability to successfully excrete them, and on tendencies to accumulate  
 300 certain element in their bodies.

301 **Table 3. Concentration of elements (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in hemolymph of forager bees for three**  
 302 **regions: Golija (rural region), Belgrade (urban region) and Zajača (industrial region),**  
 303 **collected in winter and summer.**

		Winter			Summer		
		Golija	Beograd	Zajača	Golija	Beograd	Zajača
Macroel.	Ca	87.35	139.97	114.5	236.80	311.62	192.51
	K	581.16	920.22	869.56	940.58	3568.80	1149.90
	Mg	87.01	135.41	82.08	208.85	372.81	172.91
	Na	198.02	357.06	160.61	442.02	1105.06	551.28
Microel.	Cu	1.80	3.65	1.47	2.66	8.10	2.43
	Fe	3.20	1.36	1.55	5.52	13.49	10.36
	Mn	0.78	0.89	3.62	0.63	1.19	0.74
	Zn	9.33	12.98	6.82	12.33	15.14	6.62
Non-essential elements	Al	0.97	0.84	1.04	0.52	0.63	21.77
	Ba	0.40	0.18	0.06	2.46	6.94	3.83
	Cd	0.05	<DL	0.12	<DL	<DL	<DL
	Co	<DL	<DL	<DL	0.05	<DL	0.17
	Cr	<DL	<DL	<DL	0.03	0.05	0.03
	Ni	0.04	<DL	<DL	0.31	0.61	0.33
	Pb	<DL	<DL	0.91	0.24	0.71	1.41
	Sr	<DL	<DL	0.07	0.16	0.27	0.20

DL- detection limit.

305  
 306  
 307 Literature data about element concentration in hemolymph of honeybees are lacking, probably  
 308 because of the complicated sampling and requirements of large volumes of hemolymph for this  
 309 analysis. To the best of our knowledge this is the first study to determine elemental composition  
 310 of hemolymph. Concentrations of bioelements as well as non-essential elements were lower in  
 311 hemolymph than in the whole body of honeybees from same locations and seasons (Table 2 and  
 312 3). Among bioelements, only exception was Na whose concentrations were higher in hemolymph  
 313 than in the whole body of bees. Concentrations of Ba and Ni were in the same order of  
 314 magnitude in both matrices. On the other side, concentrations of Al, Cd, Cr, Cu, and Sr were for  
 315 at least one order of magnitude lower in the hemolymph.

316 Sodium is one of the major inorganic components of the body fluids, but in insects potassium  
317 could have more important role than sodium. Furthermore, plant-feeding insects tend to have  
318 higher levels of potassium than insect feeding on other diets, that have higher levels of sodium  
319 (Klowden, 2013). Although honeybees are feeding on plants, it is possible that sodium is major  
320 electrolyte in their hemolymph, and thus its concentration is higher than in the whole body of  
321 bees.

322 Seasonal differences of element concentration in hemolymph were similar as in the whole body  
323 of bees. In general, elemental concentrations were lower during winter in samples from all  
324 locations, and most of the non-essential elements were below detection limit in samples collected  
325 during winter, with some exceptions: in samples from Golija, concentration of Al and Cd were  
326 higher during winter, as well as concentration of Mn and Cd in hemolymph samples from  
327 Zajača. Spatial differences in non-essential elements concentration were similar in whole body of  
328 bees and hemolymph during winter, except for Ba, while during summer, spatial differences of  
329 non-essential element concentrations in the whole body of bees was not followed by the same  
330 trends in hemolymph, except for Ni and Pb, which suggests that airborne particulate matter is  
331 dominant way of exposure of honey bees to pollution.

### 332 ***3.4. Particulate matter (PM)***

333 Samples of PM collected during summer showed differences between locations (Table 4).  
334 Samples of PM collected at Golija had the highest concentrations of K, Mg, Fe, Mn, Co, Cr and  
335 Sr. Samples from Belgrade had the highest concentrations of Cu, Ba and Ni. Samples of PM  
336 collected in Zajača had higher concentrations of Na and Zn and many times higher  
337 concentrations of Al, Pb and Cd compared to samples from other two locations.

338 Comparison of some elements showed similar spatial differences in the whole body of bees and  
339 PM, while for others those similarities were not observed. Namely, spatial differences in content  
340 of Cu, Mn, Co, Cr, Ni, Pb and Sr were similar in both matrices, so it can be concluded that  
341 concentrations of these elements in bees could be the result of deposition of dust particles on  
342 their bodies, which are covered with hair, and therefore prone to collect PM. For all  
343 macroelements, as well as for Fe, Zn, Al, Ba and Cd, there were no similar spatial differences in  
344 the whole body of bees and PM, which could mean that main source of these elements is not

345 deposition of PM from atmosphere, but rather pollen, nectar and water. Furthermore, comparison  
 346 of spatial differences in concentration of bioelements in PM and hemolymph did not show  
 347 similarities, which is probably the result of regulatory mechanisms of hemolymph content.  
 348 Concentration of some non-essential elements, Al, Ba, Ni and Pb showed similar spatial  
 349 differences in both matrices, while Cd, Co, Cr and Sr did not showed similarities, which gives  
 350 more insight into potential sources of contamination and mechanisms of elimination of non-  
 351 essential elements from hemolymph. Nevertheless, these assumptions should be confirmed with  
 352 analysis of all potential pollution sources around beehives.

353 **Table 4. Concentration of elements (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> d.m.) in particulate matter collected in**  
 354 **summer 2013 from three regions: Golija (rural region), Belgrade (urban region) and**  
 355 **Zajača (industrial region).**

		Particulate matter		
		Golija	Belgrade	Zajača
Macroel.	Ca	>OR	16498.63	9199.26
	K	6183.62	3065.34	2655.37
	Mg	6574.05	6150.83	2356.98
Non-essential elements	Na	702.63	273.98	807.52
	Cu	57.89	139.37	115.91
	Fe	23765.19	11949.67	19375.87
	Mn	483.60	289.78	449.08
	Zn	406.61	744.42	1107.74
	Al	30583.33	17872.36	87174.89
	Ba	288.99	427.34	159.44
	Cd	3.23	1.72	73.19
	Co	13.16	11.7	8.07
	Cr	65.59	61.16	57.83
	Ni	51.61	93.03	64.66
	Pb	182.99	117.99	12471.21
	Sr	150.23	38.25	24.71

356 OR- operating range.

#### 357 **4. Conclusion**

358 Content of bioelements and non-essential elements in honeybees is under noticeable influence of  
 359 their environment, but also season and activities of honeybees. The results of our study  
 360 demonstrated that bees can be successfully used as biomonitors since we have observed  
 361 statistically significant differences among studied locations. However, unless compared locations



362 are exposed to excessively different pollution pressures, it is essential that all bees are collected  
363 during the same season. This conclusion is based on the fact that significant seasonal differences  
364 are observed in all locations, for bioelements as well as for non-essential elements.

365 Although some pristine locations may seem unpolluted, bees from these areas could still be  
366 under significant exposure to toxic elements which are present in high background  
367 concentrations derived from natural weathering of local geological materials or long-range  
368 pollution transfers.

369 Bees collected in summer and spring had higher concentrations of bioelements as well as non-  
370 essential elements content in the whole body, which was expected because bees are more active  
371 in these periods of year than in winter. Increased honeybee activity probably leads to elevated  
372 concentrations of investigated elements. Contrary to this trend, at industrial sampling location it  
373 was observed that several bio (Mg, Cu, Mn, Zn) and non-essential (Cd, Co) elements had the  
374 highest concentrations during winter, which could be a consequence of different plants available  
375 at this location and higher elemental content in food that bees consume during winter. Our results  
376 suggest that, in highly polluted areas, bees can be significantly affected by airborne pollution  
377 even during their periods of lower activity and overwintering, which has important implications  
378 for the survival of the bees colonies, but also for the bees potential to serve as biomonitors.

379 Analysis of hemolymph provided better understanding of dynamic in changes of elemental  
380 content during an annual cycle of a beehive, which were exposed to toxic elements. It was  
381 observed that hemolymph had significantly higher concentrations of both bioelements and non-  
382 essential elements during summer months compared to winter period. The same was observed at  
383 all investigated locations (rural, urban and industrial). Therefore, it can be concluded that  
384 elevated concentrations of bioelements, but also non-essential (toxic) elements which were  
385 observed in analyzed bees during spring and summer are mainly a consequence of their intake  
386 via consumed food and water.

### 387 *Acknowledgement*

388 This work was funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of  
389 the Republic of Serbia, grants No. 176006 and 173014. The authors declare no conflicts of  
390 interest.

391 **References**

- 392 Adokoh, C.K., Obodai, E.A., Essumang, D.K., Serfor-Armah, Y., Nyarko, B.J.B. and Asabere-  
393 Ameyaw, A., 2011. Statistical evaluation of environmental contamination, distribution and  
394 source assessment of heavy metals (aluminum, arsenic, cadmium, and mercury) in some lagoons  
395 and an estuary along the coastal belt of Ghana. *Arch. Environ. Con. Tox.* 61(3), 389-400.
- 396 Al-Khashman, O.A., 2013. Assessment of heavy metals contamination in deposited street dusts  
397 in different urbanized areas in the city of Ma'an, Jordan. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 70(6), 2603-2612.
- 398 Amdam, G.V., Omholt, S.W., 2002. The regulatory anatomy of honeybee lifespan. *J. Theor.*  
399 *Biol.* 216, 209–228.
- 400 Bogdanov, S., 2006. Contaminants of bee products. *Apidologie* 37, 1-18.
- 401 Buchwalter, D.B., 2008. Metals. In: Smart, R.C., Hodgson, E. (Eds.), *Molecular and*  
402 *Biochemical Toxicology*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, pp. 413-439.
- 403 Behmer, S.T., 2008. Nutrition in Insects. In: Capinera, J.L. (Ed.) *Encyclopedia of entomology*,  
404 Springer Science & Business Media, pp. 2646–2654. doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-6359-6\_2277
- 405 Celli, G., Maccagnani, B., 2003. Honeybees as bioindicators of environmental pollution. *B.*  
406 *Insectol.* 56, 137-139.
- 407 Conti, M.E., Botre, F., 2001. Honeybees and their products as potential bioindicators of heavy  
408 metals contamination. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 69, 267-282.
- 409 Di, N., Hladun, K.R., Zhang, K., Liu, T., Trumble, J.T., 2016. Laboratory bioassays on the  
410 impact of cadmium, copper and lead on the development and survival of honeybee (*Apis*  
411 *mellifera* L.) larvae and foragers. *Chemosphere.* 152, 530-538.  
412 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.03.033>.
- 413 Döke, M.A., Frazier, M., Grozinger, C.M., 2015. Overwintering honeybees: biology and  
414 management. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 10, 185-193.
- 415 Duong, T.T., Lee, B.K., 2011. Determining contamination level of heavy metals in road dust  
416 from busy traffic areas with different characteristics. *J. Environ. Manage.* 92(3), 554-562.
- 417 Fakimzadeh, K., Lodenius, M., 2000. Heavy metals in Finnish honey, pollen and honeybees.  
418 *Apiacta.* 35 (2), 85-95.
- 419 Fakhri, Y., Abtahi, M., Atamaleki, A., Raoofi, A., Atabati, H., Asadi, A., Miri, A., Shamloo, E.,  
420 Alinejad, A., Keramati, H. and Khaneghah, A.M., 2019. The concentration of potentially toxic  
421 elements (PTEs) in honey: a global systematic review and meta-analysis and risk  
422 assessment. *Trends Food Sci. Tech.* 91, 498-506.
- 423 Filipiak, M., Kuszewska, K., Asselman, M., Denisow, B., Stawiarz, E., Woyciechowski, M.,  
424 Weiner, J., 2017. Ecological stoichiometry of the honeybee: Pollen diversity and adequate species  
425 composition are needed to mitigate limitations imposed on the growth and development of bees  
426 by pollen quality. *PLoS ONE.* 12(8), e0183236. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183236>.

427 Formicki, G., Greń, A., Stawarz, R., Zyśk, B., Gał, A., 2013. Metal Content in Honey, Propolis,  
428 Wax, and Bee Pollen and Implications for Metal Pollution Monitoring. *Pol. J. Environ.*  
429 *Stud.* 22(1), 99-106.

430 Gallai, N., Salles, J.M., Settele, J., Vaissière, B.E., 2009. Economic valuation of the vulnerability  
431 of world agriculture confronted with pollinator decline. *Ecol. Econ.* 68(3), 810-821.

432 Gordon, H.T., 1959. Minimal nutritional requirements of the German roach, *Blattella germanica*  
433 *L. Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* 77(2), 290-351.

434 Goulson, D., Nicholls, E., Botías, C., Rotheray, E.L., 2015. Bee declines driven by combined  
435 stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. *Science.* 347(6229), 1255957.

436 Hladun, K.R., Di, N., Liu, T.X., Trumble, J.T., 2016. Metal contaminant accumulation in the  
437 hive: consequences for whole-colony health and brood production in the honeybee (*Apis*  
438 *mellifera* L.). *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 35, 322-329. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/etc.3273>.

439 Jacques, A., Laurent, M., EPILOBEE Consortium, Ribière-Chabert, M., Saussac, M., Bougeard,  
440 S., Budge, G.E., Hendrikx, P., Chauzat, M.P., 2017. A pan-European epidemiological study  
441 reveals honey bee colony survival depends on beekeeper education and disease control. *PLoS*  
442 *one*, 12(3), e0172591.

443 Klowden, M.J., 2013. Chapter 7 - Circulatory Systems, In: Klowden, M.J. (Ed.) *Physiological*  
444 *Systems in Insects (Third Edition)*, Academic Press, London, UK, pp. 365-413.  
445 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-415819-1.00007-6>.

446 Lambert, O., Piroux, M., Puyo, S., Thorin, C., Larhantec, M., Delbac, F., Pouliquen, H., 2012.  
447 Bees, honey and pollen as sentinels for lead environmental contamination. *Environ. Pollut.* 170,  
448 254-259.

449 Leita, L., Muhlbachova, G., Cesco, S., Barbattini, R., & Mondini, C., 1996. Investigation of the  
450 use of honey bees and honey bee products to assess heavy metals contamination. *Environ. Monit.*  
451 *Assess.* 43(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00399566>.

452 López-Urbe, M.M., Simone-Finstrom M., 2019. Honeybee research in the US: Current state and  
453 solutions to beekeeping problems. *Insects.* 10(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10010022>

454 Markert, B., Fränzle, S., Wünschmann, S., 2015. *Chemical Evolution: The Biological System of*  
455 *the Elements*. Springer, Cham. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-14355-2\_2

456 Matin, G., Kargar, N. and Buyukisik, H.B., 2016. Bio-monitoring of cadmium, lead, arsenic and  
457 mercury in industrial districts of Izmir, Turkey by using honeybees, propolis and pine tree  
458 leaves. *Ecol. Eng.* 90, 331-335.

459 Medrzycki, P., Giffard, H., Aupinel, P., Belzunces, L.P., Chauzat, M.-P., Claßen, C., Colin,  
460 M.E., Dupont, T., Girolami, V., Johnson, R., Le Conte, Y., Lückmann, J., Marzaro, M., Pistorius,  
461 J., Porrini, C., Schur, A., Sgolastra, F., Delso, N.S., van der Steen, J.J.M., Wallner, K., Alaux, C.,  
462 Biron, D.G., Blot, N., Bogo, G., Brunet, J.-L., Delbac, F., Diogon, M., El Alaoui, H., Provost, B.,  
463 Tosi, S., Vidau, C., 2013. Standard methods for toxicology research in *Apis mellifera*. *J. Apic.*  
464 *Res.* 52, 1-60. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.4.14>.

465 Nikolić, T.V., Purać, J., Orčić, S., Kojić, D., Vujanović, D., Stanimirović, Z., Gržetić, I., Ilijević,  
466 K., Šikoparija, B., Blagojević, D.P., 2015. Environmental effects on superoxide dismutase and  
467 catalase activity and expression in honeybee. Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol. 90: 181-194.  
468 doi:[10.1002/arch.21253](https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.21253)

469 Nikolić, T.V., Kojić, D., Orčić, S., Batinić, D., Vukašinović, E., Blagojević, D.P. and Purać, J.,  
470 2016. The impact of sublethal concentrations of Cu, Pb and Cd on honeybee redox status,  
471 superoxide dismutase and catalase in laboratory conditions. Chemosphere, 164, 98-105.

472 Orčić, S., Nikolić, T., Purać, J., Šikoparija, B., Blagojević, D.P., Vukašinović, E., Plavša, N.,  
473 Stevanović, J. and Kojić, D., 2017. Seasonal variation in the activity of selected antioxidant  
474 enzymes and malondialdehyde level in worker honeybees. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 165(2-3), 120-  
475 128.

476 Perugini, M., Manera, M., Grotta, L., Abete, M. C., Tarasco, R., Amorena, M., 2011. Heavy  
477 Metal (Hg, Cr, Cd, and Pb) Contamination in Urban Areas and Wildlife Reserves: Honeybees as  
478 Bioindicators. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 140, 170–176. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-010-8688-z>

479 Popović, T., Jović, B., Marić-Tanasković, L., Knežević, J., Mitrović-Josipović, M., Dimić, B.,  
480 2014. Report on the State of Air Quality in the Republic of Serbia for 2013. Ministry of  
481 Agriculture and Environmental Protection of the Republic of Serbia, Serbian Environmental  
482 Protection Agency

483 Porrini, C., Ghini, S., Girotti, S., Sabatini, A.G., Gattavecchia, E., Celli, G., 2002. Use of  
484 honeybees as bioindicators of environmental pollution in Italy. In: Devillers, J., Pham-Delègue,  
485 M. (Eds.) Honeybees: estimating the environmental impact of chemicals, Taylor & Francis,  
486 London, UK, pp. 186-247.

487 Porrini, C., Sabatini, A.G., Girotti, S., Ghini, S., Medrzycki, P., Grillenzoni, F., Bortolotti, L.,  
488 Gattavecchia, E., Celli, G., 2003. Honeybees and bee products as monitors of the environmental  
489 contamination. Apiacta, 38(1), 63-70.

490 Potts, S.G., Biesmeijer, J.C., Kremen, C., Neumann, P., Schweiger, O., Kunin, W.E., 2010.  
491 Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. Trends ecol. evol. 25(6), 345-353.

492 Ptaczyńska, A.A., Gancarz, M., Hurd, P.J., Borsuk, G., Wiącek, D., Nawrocka, A., Strachecka,  
493 A., Załuski, D., Paleolog, J., 2018. Changes in the bioelement content of summer and winter  
494 western honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) induced by *Nosema ceranae* infection. PLoS ONE 13(7),  
495 e0200410. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200410>

496 Roman, A., 2005. The influence of environment on accumulation of toxic elements in  
497 honeybees' body. ISAH. 2, 423-426.

498 Ru, Q.M., Feng, Q. and He, J.Z., 2013. Risk assessment of heavy metals in honey consumed in  
499 Zhejiang province, southeastern China. Food Chem. Toxicol. 53, 256-262.

500 Ruschioni S., Riolo P., Minuz R.L., Stefano M., Cannella M., Porrini C., Isidoro N., 2013.  
501 Biomonitoring with honeybees of heavy metals and pesticides in nature reserves of the Marche  
502 Region (Italy). Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 154, 226–233.

- 503 Singh, R., Gautam, N., Mishra, A., Gupta, R., 2011. Heavy metals and living systems: An  
504 overview. *Indian J. Pharmacol.* 43(3), 246–253. doi:10.4103/0253-7613.81505.
- 505 Stanimirović, Z., Glavinić, U., Ristanić, M., Aleksić, N., Jovanović, N., Vejnović, B. and  
506 Stevanović, J., 2019. Looking for the causes of and solutions to the issue of honeybee colony  
507 losses. *Acta Vet.* 69(1), 1-31.
- 508 Staveley J. P., Law S.A., Fairbrother, A., Menzie, C.A., 2014. A Causal Analysis of Observed  
509 Declines in Managed Honeybees (*Apis mellifera*), *Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess.* 20:2, 566-591. DOI:  
510 10.1080/10807039.2013.831263.
- 511 Tchounwou, P.B., Yedjou, C.G., Patlolla, A.K., Sutton, D.J., 2012. Heavy metals and the  
512 environment. *Exp. Suppl.* 101, 133-164.
- 513 Van der Steen, J.J.M., de Kraker, J., Grothenius, T., 2012. Spatial and temporal variation of  
514 metal concentrations in adult honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 184, 4119-  
515 4126.
- 516 Van der Steen, J.J., de Kraker, J., Grotenhuis, T., 2015. Assessment of the potential of honeybees  
517 (*Apis mellifera* L.) in biomonitoring of air pollution by cadmium, lead and vanadium. *J. Environ.*  
518 *Prot.* 6(2), 96-102.
- 519 Zarić, N.M., Ilijević, K., Stanisavljević, L. and Gržetić, I., 2016. Metal concentrations around  
520 thermal power plants, rural and urban areas using honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) as bioindicators.  
521 *Int. J. Environ. Sci Te.* 13(2), 413-422.
- 522 Zarić, N.M., Ilijević, K., Stanisavljević, L. and Gržetić, I., 2017. Use of honeybees (*Apis*  
523 *mellifera* L.) as bioindicators for assessment and source appointment of metal pollution. *Environ.*  
524 *Sci. Pollut. R.* 24(33), 25828-25838.
- 525 Zarić, N.M., Deljanin, I., Ilijević, K., Stanisavljević, Lj., Ristić, M., Gržetić, I., 2018. Honeybees  
526 as sentinels of lead pollution: Spatio-temporal variations and source appointment using stable  
527 isotopes and Kohonen self-organizing maps. *Sci. Total Environ.* 642, 56-62.

528 *Figure captions*

529 **Figure 1.** Mean concentration (shown in *log* scale) comparison of all analyzed elements for three  
530 regions: Golija (rural region), Belgrade (urban region) and Zajača (industrial region).

531 Different small case letters represent statistically significant differences in element  
532 concentrations between locations in the same season of the year.

533 OR- operating range; DL- detection limit.