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Table S1. Data of enzymatic analysis $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for the compound 17.

| $\mathbf{1}$ <br> (S $\mathbf{S}] \mathbf{x ~ 1 0}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{m o l}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{m i n} / \mathbf{\Delta A})$ for $[\mathbf{I}](\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M})$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 , 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0}$ |
| 5.05 | 3.571 | 5.102 | 8.064 | 13.888 |
| 5.618 | 3.676 | 5.555 | 8.333 | 15.625 |
| 6.329 | 3.789 | 5.814 | 8.621 | 16.666 |
| 7.246 | 4.111 | 6.25 | 9.615 | 17.857 |
| 8.928 | 4.386 | 6.579 | 10.417 | 19.23 |

Table S2. Predicted potency of the compounds based on model described in reference 22, given in the main text.

| Compound $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{o}}$ | Exp. $\mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}\right)^{*}$ | Calc. $\mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.348 | 5.478 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 5.633 | 5.634 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 5.481 | 5.433 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 5.708 | 5.555 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 5.688 | 5.503 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 5.264 | 5.088 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 5.226 | 5.329 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 5.196 | 5.197 |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 5.491 | 5.544 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 5.627 | 5.759 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 4.699 | 5.224 |
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Figure S3. Heatmaps of: a) DRY-DRY block of variables; b) N1-N1 block of variables. Compounds were arranged from the most to the least active one, from the top to the bottom of the Figure.


Figure S4. Variable O-TIP 473 (10.0-10.4 Å), on the example of the most active derivative 18.
Table S5. Experimental vs. calculated $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ values obtained with 3LV from PLS model described in the main text. In the last column, association of variable O-TIP 473 with compounds is shown.

| Compound $\mathbf{N o}^{-}$ | Exp. $\mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}\right)$ | Calc. $\mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}\right)$ | O-TIP 473 <br> Variable $^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 a}$ | 5.460 | 5.203 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 5.085 | 5.031 | + |
| $\mathbf{4 a}$ | 5.313 | 5.372 | + |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | 5.810 | 5.716 | + |
| $\mathbf{7 a}$ | 5.249 | 5.365 | + |
| $\mathbf{8 a}$ | 5.198 | 5.199 | + |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.348 | 5.451 | + |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.553 | 4.589 | + |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 5.633 | 5.561 | + |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 4.638 | 4.657 | - |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 4.602 | 4.646 | - |


| 11 | 4.398 | 4.401 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 4.398 | 4.400 | - |
| 14 | 5.482 | 5.506 | + |
| 17 | 5.708 | 5.794 | + |
| 18 | 5.688 | 5.660 | + |
| 19 | 5.131 | 5.302 | + |
| 20 | 5.143 | 5.218 | + |
| 21 | 5.264 | 5.185 | + |
| 22 | 5.226 | 5.126 | + |
| 25 | 5.196 | 5.204 | + |
| 26 | 5.491 | 5.401 | + |
| 30 | 5.627 | 5.619 | + |
| 32 | 4.921 | 5.019 | + |
| 34 | 4.699 | 4.634 | - |

* (+) - Variable has a value different from $0 .(-)-$ Variable has a value 0 .

Table S6. Statistics of PCA model

| Component | SSX | SSX $_{\text {acc }}$ | VarX | VarX $_{\text {acc }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 24.47 | 24.47 | 21.04 | 21.04 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 16.33 | 40.8 | 14.15 | 35.19 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 9.80 | 50.60 | 8.05 | 43.24 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 7.75 | 58.35 | 6.42 | 49.66 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5.33 | 63.68 | 4.05 | 53.71 |

Abbreviations: SSX - percentage of the X sum of the squares; VarX - percentage of the X variance. The 'acc' states cumulative value.

Table S7. RMSD of the atomic positions between docked poses of $R$ and $S$ enantiomers, for the energetically best ranked (E), and the most populated (P) pose of compounds $\mathbf{6}, 17$ and 18 .

| Compound <br> $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{o}}$ | H atoms <br> included | RMSD (£) <br> heavy atoms only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P})$ | 1.811 | 1.810 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}(\mathbf{E})$ | 2.350 | 1.480 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}(\mathbf{P})$ | 2.077 | 2.077 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}(\mathbf{E})$ | 1.874 | 1.220 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}(\mathbf{P})$ | 2.312 | 1.440 |




e) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 16



h) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound 24




Figure S7. NMR spectra of representative compounds.


[^0]:    * Recalculated from M concentrations.
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